

## CH 7 STATEMENT ARGUMENTS

### ANSWERS AND EXPLANATIONS

1. (d) I is not strong. The individual's demands are as important as the motherland's. II is weak because of its complacent attitude.
2. (b) I is not strong because instead of giving a reason, it adds a further clause. II is strong because discipline plays a major role in an educational institution.
3. (b) Clearly, reservations on communal basis will increase inter-communal biases. So, argument I is vague. Also it will be against the secular policy, according to which no communal group is given preference over the others. So, only argument II holds.
4. (d) Clearly, taxes on an item cannot be increased or decreased on the basis of the financial position of the people who buy it. So, both arguments I and II do not hold strong.
5. (b) **Explanation:** Clearly, the variety of news helps people to develop their own views. So, argument I is vague. Also, controlled news shall be a partial produce. So, it loses credibility. Thus, argument II holds.
6. (b) **Explanation:** (a) hike in fees is no means to make the students more serious in studies. So, argument I is vague. However, with the increase in fees, poor meritorious students would not be able to afford post-graduate studies. So, argument II holds.
7. (a) **Explanation:** Clearly, mechanization would speed up the work and increase the production. So, argument I is strong enough. Argument II is vague because mechanization will only eliminate wasteful employment, not create unemployment.
8. (c) **Explanation:** Clearly, indulgence in politics trains the students for future leadership but it sways them from the studies. So, either of the arguments I or II can hold.
9. (a) **Explanation:** The opinion polls may influence the thinking of an individual and thus divert his mind from his original choice. So, argument I holds strong. Further, blindly imitating a policy followed by other countries holds no relevance. So, argument II is vague.
10. (b) **Explanation:** Clearly, refugees are people forced out of their homeland by some misery and need shelter desperately. So, argument II holds. Argument I against the statement is vague.
11. (b) **Explanation:** (a) doctor treating a patient individually can mislead the patient into wrong and unnecessary treatment for his personal gain. So, argument II holds strong. Also, a policy beneficial to common people cannot be termed 'undemocratic'. So, I is vague.
12. (a) **Explanation:** Clearly, persons with criminal background cannot stand to serve as the representatives of the common people. So, they should not be allowed to contest elections. Thus, only argument I holds, while II does not.
13. (a) **Explanation:** Clearly, argument I holds strong, while argument II is vague.
14. (b) **Explanation:** Clearly, the luxury hotels are a mark of country's standard and a place for staying for the affluent foreign tourists. So, argument II holds. Argument I is not a strong reason because ban on hotels is not a way to do away with the activities of international criminals.
15. (a) **Explanation:** Parents indulging in sex determination of their unborn child generally do so as they want to only a boy child and do away with a girl child. So, argument I holds. Also, people have a right to know only about the health, development and general well-being of the child before its birth, and not the sex. So, argument II does not hold strong.
16. (d) **Explanation:** Clearly, with so many people around in a joint family, there is more security. Also, work is shared. So, argument I holds. In nuclear families, there are lesser number of people and so lesser responsibilities and more freedom. Thus, II also holds.



